

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/035,397	SHIRLEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Janet L. Andres	1646	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 71

(1) Janet L. Andres.

(3) Murray Spruill.

(2) Leslie Henry.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 November 2004

**Time:** 2:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative described the calculations which led to the conclusion that the cited art did not teach ionic strenghts of 20 mM or below.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)